1-Homothety Curvature Homogeneity and 1-Curvature Homogeneity

Rory Martin-Hagemeyer

August 2016

Abstract

This paper examines the 1-homothety curvature homogeneity and 1-weak curvature homogeneity condition for certain families of manifolds. In the case where $R = R_{\phi}$ for ϕ of rank greater than or equal to three and the kernel of ∇R contains the kernel or R we show that a manifold is 1-homothery curvature homogeneous and 1-weak curvature homogeneous simultaneously only if the manifold in question is 1-curvature homogeneous.

1 Introduction

Let V be a vector space over a field K. **Definition 1.1a** An **algebraic curvature tensor** (or ACT for short) is a 4-multilinear tensor $R: V \times V \times V \times V \to R$ that satisfies:

(1)
$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = -R(Y, X, Z, W)$$

(2) $R(X, Y, Z, W) = -R(Z, W, X, Y)$
(3) $R(X, Y, Z, W) + R(X, Z, W, Y) + R(X, W, Y, Z) = 0$

The third of these identities is called the Bianchi identity. **Definition 1.1b** An **algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor** (or ACDCT for short) is a 5-multilinear tensor $\nabla R : V \times V \times V \times V \times V \rightarrow R$ that satisfies (1)-(3) in the first four slots and the second Bianchi identity:

(4)
$$\nabla R(X, Y, Z, U; V) + \nabla R(X, Y, U, V; Z) + \nabla R(X, Y, V, Z; U) = 0$$

Definition 1.2 A 1-model space is a quadruple $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, R, \nabla R)$ the elements of which are a vector space, an inner product on the vector space, an ACT on the vector space, and a ACDCT on the vector space respectively. A 1-weak model space is the triple that results from the omission of the inner product, a 0-model space, or simply a model space for short, is the triple that results from the omission of the ACDCT, and a 0-weak model space is the pair of the vector space and the ACT.

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) has a natural connection, the levicivita connection, which is the unique torsion free metric connection[1]. The covariant derivative of X with respect to Y shall be denoted $\nabla_Y X$. This gives rise to a 1-model space at every point defined by $(T_p(M), g_p, R_p, \nabla R_p)$ where R_p is the Riemann curvature tensor defined by the formula:

$$R(X, Y, U, V) = g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y U - \nabla_Y \nabla_X U - \nabla_{[X,Y]} U, V)$$

and its covariant derivative, whose formula is:

$$\nabla R(X, Y, U, V; Z) = Z(R(X, Y, U, V) - R(\nabla_Z X, Y, U, V)) -R(X, \nabla_Z Y, U, V) - R(X, Y, \nabla_Z U, V) - R(X, Y, U, \nabla_Z V)$$

Definition 1.3a A manifold (M, g) is said to be **k-curvature homogeneous** if for any $p, q \in M$ there is a linear isometry $\ell : T_pM \to T_qM$ such that

$$\nabla^i R_p(X, Y, Z, U; V_1, \dots, V_k) = \nabla^i R_p(\ell X, \ell Y, \ell Z, \ell U; \ell V_1, \dots, \ell V_k)$$

for i = 0, 1, ..., k.

Definition 1.3b A manifold is said to be **k-weak curvature homogeneous** if we relax the curvature homogeneity condition, allowing l to be any linear map that satisfies the property of curvature preservation above up to level-k.

Definition 1.3c A manifold is said to be **k-homothety curvature homogeneous** if for any $p, q \in M$ there is a linear homothety $\ell : T_pM \to T_qM$ such that

$$l\nabla^{i}R_{p}(X,Y,Z;V_{1},...,V_{k})W = \nabla^{i}R_{q}(lX,lY,lZ,;lV_{1},...,lV_{k})lW(i=1,...,k).$$

Here we note that this is the i_{th} covariant derivative curvature *operator* and not the tensor itself.

A result of Dunn and McDonald (also reached independently by Gilkey et. al.) that will be of great use to us in this investigation is that 1homothety curvature homogeneity is equivalent to the condition that there exists a smooth positive function $\psi(p)$ on M such that the 1-model spaces $(T_pM, g_p, \psi(p)R_p, (\psi(p))^{3/2}\nabla R_p)$ are all isomorphic.

There are canonical constructions of ACTs and CDACTs. Given a symmetric bilinear form ϕ on a vector space V there is an algebraic curvature tensor R_{ϕ} on V given by the formula

$$R_{\phi}(X,Y,U,V) = \phi(X,V)\phi(U,Y) - \phi(X,U)\phi(Y,V)$$

given a symmetric bilinear form ϕ and a totally symmetric trilinear form ψ there is an algebraic covariant derivative curvature tensor defined by the formula:

$$\nabla R_{\phi\psi}(X, Y, U, V; Z) = \phi(X, V)\psi(Y, U, Z) + \phi(U, Y)\psi(X, Y, Z)$$
$$-\phi(X, U)\psi(Y, V, Z) - \phi(Y, V)\psi(X, U, Z)$$

Remark: At the zero level, homothety curvature homogeneity implies weak curvature homogeneity. Weak curvature homogeneity is the condition that for $p, q \in M$ there is a map isometry $\ell : T_pM \to T_qM$ such that

$$R_p(X, Y, Z, U) = R_q(\ell X, \ell Y, \ell Z, \ell U)$$

. By the construction mentioned earlier, a manifold is 0-homothety curvature homogeneous if all of the model spaced $(T_pM, g_p, \psi(p)R)$ are all isomorphic. Let $\ell : T_pM \to T_qM$ be one of the isomorphisms which is guarunteed to exist guarunteed to exist. One has that $\ell^*\psi(q)R_q(X, Y, U, V) = \psi(q)R_q(\ell X, \ell Y, \ell U, \ell V) = \psi(p)R_p(X, Y, U, V)$. If one sets $h = (\frac{\psi(q)}{\psi(p)})^{1/4}\ell$, then h is an isomorphism of the weak zero models.

Definition 1.4 We define the **kernel of an ACT** R (denoted ker(R)) as the set of X such that the trilinear form given by inner multiplication $\iota_X R(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = R(X, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is identically 0. Similarly, we shall define the **kernel** of an ACDCT ∇R as the set of X such that the 4-linear forms given by inner multiplication $\iota_X \nabla R(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot; \cdot) = \nabla R(X, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot; \cdot)$ and $\nabla R(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot; X)$ are both identically 0. We shall set out to prove the results concerning the constructions above in the following order:

Theorem 2.1 Let M be a manifold whose curvature is of the form $R = R_{\phi}$ where ϕ is of rank greater than or equal to three, M if M satisfies the condition that $ker(R) \subseteq ker(\nabla R)$ then:

- ϕ , R, and ∇R give rise to well defined tensors at every point on the vector space $T_p M/ker(R_p)$. Furthermore, on $T_p M/ker(R_p)$, ϕ gives rise to a nondegenerate inner product.
- Contractions of ∇R by ϕ are invariant under 1-weak model space isomorphism.

From this result, we prove:

Theorem 2.2 Let M be 1-homothety curvature homogeneous. Suppose ϕ induces a positive definite bilinear form on $T_pM/ker(R)$ for every $p \in M$. Then M is 1-weak curvature homogeneous if and only if M is 1-curvature homogeneous.

2 Results

Proof of theorem 2.1: For the first assertion, one simply has to consider the tenors characterized by the equations $\pi^* \overline{\nabla R} = \nabla R$, $\pi^* \overline{R} = R$, and, because ϕ is of rank greater than or equal to three, R_{ϕ} and ϕ share the same kernel, so the third equation $\pi^* \overline{\phi} = \phi$ can be added without changing the system of equations. Suppose now that $\overline{\phi}$ had a nontrivial kernel containing a nonzero vector v. Because $\overline{\phi}$ is symmetric, by graham-schmidt pseudoorthonormalization, it is readily apparent that by negating the component of v where the signature entry is negative in ϕ , call this vector \overline{v} . We conclude that if $\overline{\phi}(v, \overline{v})$ is zero, then v is zero.

Furthermore, if there is a 1-weak curvature isomorphism of a model space, the form ϕ and the space ker(R) must be preserved. Likewise the form ∇R and therefore $\overline{\nabla R}$ must also be preserved. Therefore any full contraction of R by $\overline{\phi}$ is preserved as well since contractions are independent of basis. *Proof of theorem 2.2:* Consider the contaction:

$$||\overline{\nabla R}||_{\phi}^2 = (\overline{\phi})^{i_1 i_2} (\overline{\phi})^{j_1 j_2} (\overline{\phi})^{k_1 k_2} (\overline{\phi})^{\ell_1 \ell_2} (\overline{\phi})^{m_1 m_2} \overline{\nabla R}_{i_1 j_1 k_1 \ell_1 m_1} \overline{\nabla R}_{i_2 j_2 k_2 \ell_2 m_2}.$$

There exists a basis in which the form ϕ is diagonal as ϕ is symmetric. In this diagonal basis one has R with coefficients of the form (using Dunn and McDonald's construction):

$$\overline{R_{ijji}} = \lambda_{ijji}\Delta = \overline{\phi}_{ii}\overline{\phi}_{jj}.$$

This set of equations gives rise to the relations:

- $\overline{\phi}_{ii} = \overline{\phi}_{jj} \frac{\lambda_{1ii1}}{\lambda_{1jj1}}$ for i, j > 1
- $\overline{\phi_{11}} = \overline{\phi_{33}} \frac{\lambda_{1221}}{\lambda_{2332}}.$

Since ever coefficient is a constant multiple of $\overline{\phi}_{11}$, we conclude that we must have all coefficients in this basis of the form $\overline{\phi}_{ii} = k_i \Delta^{1/2}$.

The contraction $||\overline{\nabla R}||_{\phi}^2$ becomes:

$$||\overline{\nabla R}||_{\phi}^{2} = \Sigma(\overline{\phi})^{ii}(\overline{\phi})^{jj}(\overline{\phi})^{pp}(\overline{\phi})^{\ell\ell}(\overline{\phi})^{mm}\overline{\nabla R}^{2}_{ijp\ell m}$$

If 1-homothety curvature homogeneity is satisfied, this is equal to:

$$\Sigma \frac{1}{k_i k_j k_p k_\ell k_m} \Delta^{-5/2} \cdot \Delta^3 = K \cdot \Delta^{1/2}$$

for nonzero K. By the positive definiteness of $\overline{\phi}$, we know that this is zero only when the 1-curvature coefficients are zero. Since this construction is a 1-weak curvature invariant, it must be constant. Therefore 1-weak curvature homogeneity renders Δ constant. However, this means that there exists a basis $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ at every point which satisfies the conditions:

- $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = g_{ij}(p)$
- $R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l) = (R_p)_{ijkl}$
- $\nabla R(e_i, e_j, e_k, e_l; e_m) = (\nabla R_p)_{ijkl;m}$

This, as a matter of fact, means that M is 1-curvature homogeneous.

Example

Let $F : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}^6$ be defined by $F(x, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = (x, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, x_1^2/2 + x_2^2/2 + f(x))$ Give \mathbb{R}^6 the metric defined by: $g(\partial_x, \partial_x) = g(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{y_1}) = g(\partial_{x_2}, \partial_{y_2}) = g(\partial_6, \partial_6) = 1$. This gives rise to the metric g on M whose matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + (\mathbf{f'}(\mathbf{x}))^2 & \mathbf{x}_1 f'(x) & \mathbf{x}_2 f'(x) & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 f'(x) & \mathbf{x}_1^2 & \mathbf{x}_1 x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 f'(x) & \mathbf{x}_2 x_1 & \mathbf{x}_2^2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the frame given by:

$$X = \frac{\partial_x}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2}} - \frac{f'(x)}{\sqrt{1 + (f'(x))^2}} (x_1 \partial_{y_1} + x_2 \partial_{y_2})$$
$$X_1 = \partial_{x_1} - \frac{x_1^2 \partial_{y_1}}{2} - x_1 x_2 \partial_{y_1}$$
$$X_2 = \partial_{x_2} - \frac{x_1^2 \partial_{y_2}}{2}$$
$$Y_i = \partial_{y_i}.$$

In this frame the metric entries are constant with the values $g(X, X) = g(X_i, Y_i) = 1$. Furthermore, other than a scaling in the x direction, everything is changed by a combination of ∂_{yi} 's which does not affect the value of the curvature tensor, whose new coefficients are:

• $R_{1221} = \frac{f''(x)}{(1+(f'(x))^2)^2}$

•
$$R_{1331} = \frac{f''(x)}{(1+(f'(x))^2)^2}$$

• $R_{2332} = \frac{1}{1 + (f'(x))^2}$

Scaling X_i by $\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{f''}{1+(f')^2}}$ and Y_i by $1/\lambda$, one establishes homothery curvature homogeneity at the zero level, with all coefficients set to $\tilde{R}_{ijji} = \frac{(f''(x))^2}{(1+(f'(x))^2)^3}$. In the original basis, the coefficients of the curvature and its covariant derivative are (up to symmetry):

- $R_{1221} = R_{1331} = \frac{f''(x)}{1 + (f'(x))^2}$
- $R_{2332} = \frac{1}{1 + (f'(x))^2}$

and

- $\nabla R_{1221;1} = \nabla R_{1331;1} = \frac{4(f'')^2 f' (1 + (f')^2) f'''}{(1 + (f')^2)^2}$
- $\nabla R_{2332;1} = \frac{2f'f''}{(1+(f')^2)^2}$
- $\nabla R_{2132;3} = \nabla R_{3123;2} = \frac{f'f''}{(1+(f')^2)^2}.$

The value of the invariant described above would, in this case be:

$$||\nabla R||_{\phi}^{2} = \frac{8}{(f'')^{3}(1+(f')^{2})^{3/2}} [20(f')^{2}(f'')^{4} + (f')^{4}(f''')^{2} + (f''')^{2}$$

 $-8(f')^3(f'')^2 f''' - 8f'(f'')^2 f''' + 2(f')^2(f''')^2]$. This shows that even in specific cases, it is difficult to find manifolds that satisfy 1-weak curvature homogeneity if they are not readily 0-curvature homogeneous.

3 Bibliography

- 1. O'neill, Barrett Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity, (1983)
- 2. Gilkey, Peter B., Geometric Properties of Natural Operators Defined by the Riemann Curvature Tensor, (2001).
- 3. Gilkey, Peter B., The Geometry of Curvature Homogeneous Pseudo-Riemannian Manifolds
- Franks, Colin, Palmer, Joey, Dunn Corey, On the structure groups of direct sums of canonical algebraic curvature tensors, (2015)Beitrage zur Algebra und Geometrie (Contributions to Algebra and Geometry), Volume 56, Issue 1 (2015), pp. 199–216.
- 5. Gilkey, Peter, Nikcevic, Stana, *Generalized plane wave manifolds*, (2005), arXiv:math/0505253 [math.DG].

4 Acknowledgements

I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to Professor Corey Dunn, my adviser for this project. His guidance was instrumental in achieving the results in the time frame we did. His positive attitude also helped when the results were coming at a drip rather than a flow. Professor Dunn and Professor Rolland Trapp set up an environment that grants students a high degree of independence in choosing their topics, which made the program very exciting. I would also like to thank the NSF and Cal State San Bernardino for coordinating the program. This project was funded by the NSF grant DMS-1461286 and CSUSB.